

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3, 4 and 6-16 are now pending in the application. Claims 1, 3, 8 and 13 have been amended. Claim 5 was previously canceled and Claim 2 is canceled herein. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejections in view of the remarks contained herein.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the allowance of claims 15 and 16.

Priority Claim

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the helpful comment regarding the priority document. A translation of the Chinese document with a verification is provided herewith.

Drawings

Figures 1-4 have been designated by a legend of Prior Art as requested in the Office Action.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Claim 13, as amended, recites that "when the second unit performs synchronous processing for the location information request according to the type of the processing indication, the second unit is capable of sending a location information response containing locating result of a target UE to the another Gateway Mobile Location Center device after a LCS system locates the target UE; and when the second unit performs asynchronous processing for the location information request according to the type of the processing indication, the second

unit is capable of sending a location service response to the another Gateway Mobile Location Center device, and releasing connection resource between the Gateway Mobile Location Center device of the second unit and the another Gateway Mobile Location Center device, and after a LCS system locates a target UE, the second unit is capable of reestablishing the connection between the Gateway Mobile Location Center device of the second unit and the another Gateway Mobile Location Center device, and then sending a LCS service result containing locating result of the target UE to the another Gateway Mobile Location Center device.”

These limitations are clearly not taught or suggested by AAPA. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that claim 13 is allowable.

Claim 14 depend from claim 13 and adds further limitations. It is respectfully submitted that this claim is allowable over the references of record in view of its dependence on an allowable claim as well as the additional limitations.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 – Zhu and AAPA

Claims 1, 4, 6-10, 13 and 14 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhu (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0253964, hereinafter “Zhu”) in view of AAPA.

Claim 1 has been amended to include all technical features of claim 2, which was not rejected in view of Zhu and AAPA in the Office Action. Therefore, this rejection has been overcome.

Claims 3, 4, 6 and 7 depend from claim 1 and add further limitations. It is respectfully submitted that these claims are allowable over the references of record in view of their dependence on an allowable claim as well as the additional limitations.

Claim 8, as amended, recites “wherein when the second Gateway Mobile Location Center performs synchronous processing for the first location information request according to the type of the processing indication, the second Gateway Mobile Location Center is capable of sending a location information response containing locating result of a target UE to the first Gateway Mobile Location Center after a LCS system locates the target UE; and wherein when the second Gateway Mobile Location Center performs asynchronous processing for the first location information request according to the type of the processing indication, the second Gateway Mobile Location Center is capable of sending a location service response to the first Gateway Mobile Location Center, and releasing connection resource between itself and the first Gateway Mobile Location Center, and after receiving the location service response, the first Gateway Mobile Location Center is capable of releasing the connection resource between itself and the second Gateway Mobile Location Center; and after a LCS system locates a target UE, the second Gateway Mobile Location Center is capable of reestablishing the connection between itself and the first Gateway Mobile Location Center, and then sending a LCS service result containing locating result of the target UE to the first Gateway Mobile Location Center.”

The limitations of claim 8 are not taught or suggested by either Zhu or AAPA. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 8 is allowable.

Claims 9-12 depend from claim 8 and add further limitations. It is respectfully submitted that these claims are allowable over the references of record in view of their dependence on an allowable claim as well as the additional limitations.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 – Ewert and Loushine

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Ewert et al. (US 7054, 620) in view of Loushine (US 2005/0232189). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Loushine has an earliest filing date of February 26, 2004, while the present application claims priority to CN 03146220.0 filed on July 4, 2003. An accurate translation of the certified copy of CN 03146220.0 is provided. Since all the claim rejections relied on Loushine, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims are allowable.

Conclusion

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of the amendments is respectfully requested. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees that are due, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 50-1065.

Respectfully submitted,

December 15, 2010 _____
Date

SLATER & MATSIL, L.L.P.
17950 Preston Rd., Ste. 1000
Dallas, Texas 75252
Tel.: 972-732-1001
Fax: 972-732-9218

/s/ Ira S Matsil / _____
Ira S. Matsil
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 35,272